

## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. ) FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 875.025US1 В

09/521,524

MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

03/08/00

DAVIDSON

HM12/1002 021186 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, 1600 TCF TOWER 121 SOUTH 8TH STREET

**EXAMINER** FOLEY, S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1648

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/521,524	DAVIDSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Shanon A. Foley	1648
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-8 and 10-25</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)  approved or b)  disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, first paragraph, new matter and 112, second paragraph, "article of manufacture".

Continuation of 5. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to recite "consisting essentially of", but has not defined the metes and bounds intended by this phrase. Therefore, this phrase would be subject to a 112, second paragraph rejection. Since no definition indicates what is intended by this phrase, the phrase is construed to be the equivalent to "comprising" and does not overcome any prior art rejections.

JAMES HOUSEL

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600